



- Pleasure to look at. Great use of land for grazing-without ugly buildings visible.
- Nicely done a thoughtful use of land.
- This type of development should be encouraged.
- Agricultural variety.
- Good lifestyle.
- Good mix of tree belts and grazing.
- Would be spoilt if subdivided.
- Good use of land and keeps environment stable.
- Sensible and productive.
- This is good development.
- Mixed farming. No visible building. Good. Keep status quo 100 acres.
- An environmentally sensitive use of small landholding but sorry to see there has been some tree felling in foreground.
- The rural should look like. Agricultural diversity.
- Good for the soil.
- Beautiful.
- Pleasing to the eye and pocket.
- Good.
- Looks good.
- With good control this is sensible use of a small property.
- Good use of land. Is appropriate in this view not over farming.



- Clean up of the willow trees from river.
- Too many signs.
- Okay for a local rural road-don't need a highway just keep it maintained.
- Limit the traffic and maintain the use.
- Should be preserved and maintained to keep rural perspective.
- Our rural heritage.
- Quaint old rural bridge.
- Good for the local traffic it supports.
- It isn't like this any more.
- If we retain status quo it isn't a problem.
- Keep it. Love it.
- Preserve bridges of historical significance whilst keeping in mind the amount of traffic anticipated.
- If the traffic increases rerouting it may be appropriate and keeping smaller bridges for local use.
- Too much signage.
- Great bridge. Keep it, part of landscape, drive to road.
- Part of our heritage. We come out here to experience bridges like this.
- Great old bridge positive to the area.
- Rustic.
- Ready for a new one.
- Wish the Hartley bridge over the Lett River past the village was in as good a condition.
- Could do with a fixed up.
- Heritage bridge must be preserved. One of only five or seven left in Australia. Possible tourist site.
- Bad.
- Impossible to overtake. nice rural bridge. too many signs.
- Love it.



- If you will allow other properties around to be cut up this will become unviable and is iniquitous outcome for the landowner.
- Deforestation of land extreme.
- Lovely to see rural properties that are viable-keep them.
- Should be subdivided.
- This is what we live in the valley for-peaceful quiet and relaxing.
- Keep it like this.
- No small acreage in areas like this.
- I agree.
- Properties like this should be preserved and not subdivided.
- Keep this landscape for future generations.
- Support farmers and landowners to preserve and nurture the land.
- Provide incentives to do so.
- What's wrong with this? Please leave it alone.
- Good to see. Maintain 20 to 25% of native bush.
- This is why Warwick Fuller paints around here.
- Good use of rural lands. Do not subdivide.
- This is what I moved here for.
- Lovely.
- Good.
- Good sustainable. pastoral and trees.
- Need to keep productive rural land.
- A few more trees might stop soil erosion.
- Looks fine.
- Peaceful. Country. relaxing.
- No small acreage in this area. hilly and scenic.
- Still good vegetation coverage so well done.
- no weeds.
- No subdivision-we need all this for the area's food basket in the future.
- Agreed.
- Delightful.





- May not look the best but it gives employment.
- Gross scarring of land with little economic return and no attempt to blend development into landscape.
- Could be made prettier.
- Not good.
- You need to transition to renewable energy. World is showing coal and gas demise. Opportunity for job creation in renewables.
- Necessary evil.
- Backward thinking. Why don't we change to sustainable?
- Employment.
- The long-term solution for employment.
- Employment.
- Could have been more aesthetic.
- No. Ugly. Less of this please.
- Need better environmental alternatives.
- Nice when out of sight (but it is economic development)
- Does not look good.
- Have to have.
- Good.
- As long as remnant bushland areas are preserved more native bush planting will help environmental fixing up when coal is gone.
- Ugly.
- Infrastructure (I realise it is mining) Yes it is degraded lands but it was important to the economy.
- Keep painting those walls black or it will be more of an eyesore from Hassans lookout.



- Beautiful.
- Beautiful-leave as is.
- Serene. Maintain waterways and vegetation. So important. Get back to our roots.
- This is why I live here.
- Great place for a picnic, tables, chairs.
- Very peaceful-why we live up here.
- Would love access to some of these beautiful spots but not invade rural privacy.
- Preserve.
- Pretty.
- Picnic area-no.
- Beautiful and unique.
- Beautiful. If it is to be made more accessible care needs to be taken not to damage it all.
- Love the ambience.
- Peaceful.
- Needs to be kept pristine.
- Great-no building should be within 200m of riparian zone.
- Good for the soul.
- Please protect this from development.
- Nice.
- Glorious-protect our water (Sydney catchment).
- Good



- Rural vista - love the view.
- Sealed roads equals more traffic on them.
- More roads to be sealed for safety.
- Okay if little traffic.
- Maintain rural roads for private access.
- Necessary sign.
- Lovely drive.
- Love those dirt roads. Keep them.
- This is rural land.
- Seal roads for safety.
- Okay.
- Country feel.
- Great look.
- Love this road except for idiots who do not slow down.
- Nice.
- What our area is beautiful for-quiet roads.
- With country Road drive accordingly.
- Appropriate signage. Lovely trees. Resurface / surface roads though.
- A reminder of how it all used to be the in this historical area.





- This is part of the history of the area. Would you bulldoze Sydney town Hall?
- Historic area good for tourism.
- Good.
- Good use of older buildings.
- Where are the heritage plants, the herbs, flowers?
- Restore original heritage plantings.
- Promote village atmosphere.
- Yes, I agree.
- Love it.
- Loads of potential-the village needs more promotion and development to celebrate our history.
- Further redevelopment to encourage more tourists.
- Preserve it for ever.
- Tourist destination.
- Great potential for developments -history, history, history.
- Educational resource if developed well.
- Plastic signs in an historic area not good.
- Signage incompatible with the historic feel.
- Keep heritage buildings.
- Lovely to retain these old buildings.
- Good to notice heritage.
- Good to notice preservation of old buildings.
- Needs more for tourist and community.
- Long live our heritage but it all needs to be lived in and used.
- Maintain and support preservation of heritage / historical buildings and sites



- Needs better resourcing from State Government.
- Great. Visually it could be worse.
- Makes me think of community.
- Good to have a brigade protecting the area.
- A necessary outpost.
- Ditto.
- Necessary.
- Looks good.
- Good.
- Looks fine.
- Lucky we have one.
- Could look better. a hell of a lot better.
- Necessary but a little ugly-love your work guys.
- Good colour.
- Informative about the environment and service available.
- Must have with climate change.
- I hate the blue toilets and it's my brigade. Better than the old pit.
- Necessary but not attractive.
- More funding for toilet inside.
- Colourbond colour is trying to blend in with the environment. Support local volunteer bushfire fighters.
- Where is the fence?





- Keep public schools going/ not under LGA control
- Encourage small schools to develop-gardens (veggie and flowering plants), Chooks, small animal nursery.
- Majority of small schools in the area already do this.
- Small schools are dying they need addressing.
- Why all the signage?
- Inappropriate streetscape for our area but we need small schools rural schools for our kids.
- Typical of an inner-city suburb isn't it great.
- Old style signage would be more appropriate and create garden along fence. This is rural after all.
- Rural country school
- Too much visual pollution-school name only needed. In southern France no hoardings, boards, advertising is allowed in some areas. The result is calm peaceful surroundings. No need to spoil what is otherwise a village school building.
- Too much signage.
- Looks like a good place to go to school.
- Great rural education.
- Public school should not be seized by teachers. Signage regulations should be adhered to.
- The signage and more well-kept hedging.
- Important to support local village schools.
- Spoilt by signage.



- Fact of life.
- Ugh.
- Jobs for the short term.
- Colour code structures with the environment. Penrith area high tension power lines are painted green.
- Engineers dream come true.
- Keep industries together.
- Employment needed.
- Industry in one or two areas.
- A bit of thought needs to go into where and how these buildings are presented- landscape in tune with the local area-understand the necessity to jobs.
- Screen landscaping please.
- Yes agree.
- A good place for industry.
- Ugly, ugly, ugly. Where is the landscaping? What is the environmental impact? But necessary for jobs.
- Old technology, upgrade to solar and add more greenery.
- Good site for solar power generation.
- I second this.
- Ad hoc could be better planned.
- Fine for industry all the industrial area existing.
- Totally necessary as we all experience tonight when power failed.
- Ugly



- Good use at one time.
- To develop as community resource.
- Not inviting, lots of potential. Doesn't look like it's used.
- Obviously a town site.
- Okay for purpose.
- Neglected, possibly unused, perhaps unnecessary.
- Ah the serenity.
- If this is a residential rural structure, why so close to the road.
- Degraded, neglected parts could be improved with a little tender loving care (like Rydal).
- A bit bare. Needs a small native corridor to enhance development.
- Rural scene where are the trees along the road?
- A place for community





- Great, need more.
- Beautiful landmark. Seen from miles around. Sustainable power good for the future and area.
- Way to go but would rather see more solar panels and wind towers.
- It is the future but careful consolidation and placement more encouragement for solar.
- Love it.
- I support wind farming.
- Not desirable.
- With wind farming it needs to capture and create not impact the environment.
- A wind farm should not be on Vista is and should be owned by the whole community not the individual landowner.
- Wind farms are a good idea but only in high wind areas and must consider neighbours.
- Agree.
- Our future.
- Agreed.
- Great eyesore.
- Clean energy-great in the right place.
- Where appropriate.
- Should be more if proven to be cost-effective (economy and environment) however should be unobtrusive.



- Only needs to do something-plant a garden not very pretty.
- Could be in development phase. Not everyone has a lot of money-plan for what will look like in ? years
- Here, here.
- Tin shed not a good photo encourages dislike.
- Great view of mountain. Sleepy place. Good.
- Typical of what the valley will look like in 30 years if not sooner.
- Any future buildings should be along the lines of existing historic buildings to preserve the Hartley heritage. That is no Masterton type of home structures.
- I agree.
- If we all stayed in previous centuries there would be no Sydney Opera House.
- Country community. Hopefully still in use.
- Basic-can understand cost factor for owner but looks ugly stop
- Agreed.
- Basic needs oversights by Council probably like shipping containers-you can't expect to buy land five acres and wreck the aesthetic.



- Grow grass or trees. Some okay not too many.
- So precious. One of our local treasures we experience around Hartley Valley. Need to be protected.
- Rural sustainability-larger holdings need pasture but trees are nice to see.
- Wonderful.
- Needs more trees.
- Great rural land and should be maintained.
- How truly lucky we are to be so close to view this wonderful landscape.
- Don't spoil it by unnecessary development.
- There has already been some clearing. Important to preserve the landscape as much as possible.
- Too much clearing.
- Beautiful, cannot be repeated once destroyed.
- Fantastic views.
- Dry times. Too much clearing but otherwise okay.
- Far too much clearing. More shelter belts should be planted. Please think of habitat protection in at least 25% of area.
- Unspoiled.
- Great.
- Pleasing to the eye as a view but inviting more erosion?
- Great.
- Why does this still exist like this?
- Beautiful view. Obviously it needs developers to do what comes naturally to them.
- Needs something.
- Encourage landowners to plant trees and buildings to blend with landscape. Council to stipulate tree planting when development are approved.
- Perfect, maybe needs some yellow box trees.
- The essence of Hartley. Why we live here.





- Already there is more landscaping after development.
- Not this amount of development to be allowed.
- Small acreages need to have more trees planted. Can Council determined something so it's not house on house look.
- Do not agree with rural land being subdivided into such small holdings.
- Too close for comfort.
- Photo angles can be deceiving.
- Should be some regulations on types of lands that can be built and definitely on planting.
- Great. Five acre blocks should be encouraged.
- Too concentrated development.
- Over developed. This is what valley will look like if hundred acre minimum changes to rural residential small acres.
- A mess.
- Houses too close together.
- Over developed.
- Loss of rural views.
- Put in another 200 houses and you will have a suburb. Lots of Council rates and the quality of the view might be better.
- Only just okay. A bit ad hoc.
- This is as it was before the houses were built it hasn't has made to tree clearing which makes ideal although it's giving a close to town look and is not close to town.
- Totally unsuitable-below Mt York. Spoils the vista.
- Most of the houses are beautiful. Picked the worst houses in the valley.



- Will hopefully save lots of precious lives. Thank you RMS.
- Highways are necessary (unfortunately)
- This is the future, get used to it.
- I agree a fact of life.
- Fantastic.
- Progress, jobs, improvement.
- Spaghetti Junction.
- Scarred landscape.
- Need highways also regeneration of the area after.
- Needs to be dual lane from Katoomba to Bathurst and beyond.
- Here, here.
- Vast improvement and safer option.
- Agree.
- Lots of local blokes have been employed as well.
- Tragic.
- Looks messy at the moment.
- But definitely a great improvement and much safer.
- More intrusive off-road than I imagined.